

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Confirmation No. 6653

Rie KOJIMA et al.

Attorney Docket No. 2006_0374A

Serial No. 10/573,174

Group Art Unit 1772

Filed March 23, 2006

.

INFORMATION RECORDING MEDIUM

SUBMISSION OF ENGLISH VERSION OF IPER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

An English language version of the International Preliminary Examination Report is submitted herewith for the Examiner's consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Rie KOJIMA et al.

By:_

Michael S. Huppert Registration No. 40,268 Attorney for Applicants

MSH/kjf Washington, D.C. 20006-1021 Telephone (202) 721-8200 Facsimile (202) 721-8250 May 15, 2007

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 665171	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below				
International application No. PCT/JP2005/009163	International filing date (day/month/year) 19 May 2005 (19.05.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 28 July 2004 (28.07.2004)				
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237						
Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.						

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).					
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.					
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
	Box No. I	Basis of the report				
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention				
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application				
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application				
4.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).					
	,					
		Date of issuance of this report 30 January 2007 (30.01.2007)				

Authorized officer

e-mail: pt08@wipo.int

Masashi Honda

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis,1) Date of mailing (dav/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION 665171 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/JP2005/009163 19.05.2005 28.07.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Authorized officer Facsimile No. Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/009163

Box	No. 1	Basis of this opinion
1.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
	-	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed action, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Add	itional comments:
		\cdot

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/009163

Box			ule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; pporting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-32	_ YES
		Claims		_ NO
	Inventive step (IS) Claims	2-8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30	_ YES
		Claims	1, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 32	_ мо
	Industrial applicat	bility (IA) Claims	1-32	_ YES
٠		Claims		_ NO
l				

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP, 3-121888, A (Dowa Mining Co., Ltd.), 23 May, 1991 (23.05.91), the claims, example 3

Document 2: JP, 2003-16687, A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.), 17 January, 2003 (17.01.03), the claims, & US, 2002/24913, A1, & EP, 1172811, A2

Document 3: JP, 2003-341241, A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.), 3 December, 2003 (03.12.03), the claims, & US, 2003/190447, A1, & EP, 1351229, A2

Document 4: JP, 2001-266409, A (Victor Company of Japan, Ltd.), 28 September, 2001 (28.09.01), the claims

The subject matters of claims 1, 29, 31 and 32 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of document 1 cited in the ISR. Document 1 describes an invention concerning an information recording material comprising a recording layer containing a Ge, Bi, Te or In-based material. A person skilled in the art could have easily set the composition ratio of the information layer within a range of numerical values of formula (1) of the subject matters of claims 1 and 29 and applied the composition ratio of the information layer to a recording/reproduction device having a laser wavelength specified by claims 31 and 32.

The materials represented by formulas (2), (3) and (4) and described in claims 2-8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28 and 30 are neither described in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor obvious to a person skilled in the art.

The subject matters of claims 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25 and 27 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of document 1 and documents 2-4 cited in the ISR. It is well known in this particular technical field as described in documents 2-4 to provide two or more information layers and to provide a first dielectric layer, a second dielectric layer, an optical absorption correcting layer and a reflection layer. A person skilled in the art could have easily applied the well-known technique to the invention described in document 1.